

	Representations on SEA	Are you happy for your contact details (name, address/email address) to be passed on to West Berkshire Council so they can contact you at the next stage of consultation?
Resident	How about domestic wind turbines? Should we be thinking about them as well as solar?	Yes
Resident		Yes
Resident		Yes
Resident	No additional comment	Yes

Resident	<p>Sections 6: In general the Assessment Methodology and the relative ranking of the 5 options looks okay. I do however think that there should have been a separate scoring done for each of the 3 sites. Only Hung14 (Salisbury Road) has been scored separately with Hung 7 (Smitham Bridge) and Hung 20 (Cottrell Close) scored as a combined option. I understand that this has probably been done because as separate sites they don't meet the target but it prevents assessment and ranking of the 3 sites separately. For instance if Smitham Bridge were especially bad for flood risk how is this reflected in the overall scoring. In my experience this is a very wet piece of land.</p> <p>Section 7: Establishing the preferred approach seems to basically ignore the Section 6 Assessment under which Option 1 scores 14 against Option 2 score of 10 (lowest score demonstrates least impact/lower risk/superiority) and only consider the household survey response where Option 1 does score significantly better than Option 2. One would normally expect to see a weighting given to each of the assessment criteria and a weighting to the household survey result with a final overall balanced score being established. This is not apparent in this assessment and the subjective household survey seems to outweigh the objective assessment, but with no weightings provided.</p> <p>Salisbury Road development (Hung14) has always been viewed by the residents of the town as adding to the existing traffic issues and it is unsurprising that the town council shares that opinion. Has a formal traffic study/model actually been developed on that or is it essentially just a gut feel from the majority in the town (and the town council)? I note that at least one member of the council is a transport planner so would like to assume something that is at least semi-scientific has been done in that regard.</p>	Yes
Resident		Yes

Resident	Looks good - well done - good demographic data - similar including the expected/planned future would be great.	Yes
Resident	See above	Yes
Resident		Yes
Resident		No
Honest by Design		No
Resident		Yes

Parliament	<p>We received a notice from a concerned residence regarding new developments areas proposed to the west of Smitham bridge road and green land surround our house. We agree that we do not wish to increase the already high rates of road traffic significantly which this development would do. We would like to say that as a young couple, part of the appeal of moving to Hungerford is to be surrounded by the natural beauty of the place and for the historic aspects of the town, sensitive to the heritage architecture. I would not support the 44+ houses on land to the west of our property for transportation, parking issues, biodiversity, landscaping, heritage, sound pollution, and sustainability reasons.</p>	Yes
Resident		No
Resident		No

Resident	The government is placing restrictions on farmers to reach carbon reduction levels and is making them re wild their land yet Hungerford is proposing to build more houses on green belt land. Brown field in fills is much more environmentally friendly but developers don't make as much money from brown field. Hungerford TC should be considering Brown field sites as a property is they are serious about conserving an AONB.	Yes
Resident	I would question if this area is free from flooding	Yes
Resident		Yes

Resident	No comments.	Yes
Resident	The embankment along the canal has seen better days, I hope these are going to be addressed following recent flooding.	Yes
Resident		Yes
Resident	Agree	Yes
Resident		No

Resident		Yes
Resident		Yes
Resident	I am happy with the SEA	No
Resident	See above	Yes

Resident		Yes
Resident	A comprehensive assessment.	Yes
Resident		No
Resident		Yes
Resident	I support the plan	Yes

Resident	The environment is our future so ensure its best interests are always put first. Challenge the cutting down of trees and hedges always to ensure unnecessary site clearance does no occur. Protect the waterways from urban runoff.	No
----------	---	----

Resident		Yes
Resident		Yes
Resident	A useful independent review of the Neighbourhood Plan	No
Resident	Are there any plans to increase the number of doctors at the surgery to facilitate all the new houses?	No

Resident

Yes

Resident

Yes

Resident		Yes
Resident	Keep the green land	No

Resident	n/a	Yes
Resident		Yes

Resident	The new proposed housing development on the land at Smitham bridge road would have a significant impact on the beautiful countryside views; would increase risk of flooding in this area and the increased traffic down church street and the narrow North Standen road access would have a major impact on the verges and wildlife in this area.	Yes
Resident		Yes

Resident

Yes

Resident

Yes

Sustrans	NA	Yes

Resident	Ref Climate change and flood risk (p23 /24) The recent heavy rain has caused flooding near River Shalbourne/Marsh lane /trading estate junction. Increased housing here would surely exacerbate the issue.	Yes
----------	--	-----

Resident		Yes
----------	--	-----

Resident		Yes
Resident		Yes
Resident		Yes
Resident		Yes

Resident	See prior comments. Overall, i found the assessment to be high in polish but lacking in detail	Yes
----------	--	-----

Resident

Yes

Resident

Yes

Resident	<p>Transportation 6.40</p> <p>For HUN7, traffic into the town along Smitham Bridge Road passes through a section of road that narrows to a single track road at the transition to Church Street, which is a bottleneck for traffic. Increased traffic along here due to the development of HUN7 will lead to increased congestion which is very undesirable.</p> <p>North Standen Road is a small single track road and increased traffic along here will also significantly increase congestion.</p> <p>The assessment appears to miss the fact that these roads are not suited for an increased volume of traffic due to these aspects.</p> <p>Although the site identified by option 2 (HUN20), along Salisbury Road is slightly further from the centre, the A338 which would serve this development is a much better suited road due to its larger size.</p>	Yes
Resident	<p>Transportation 6.40</p> <p>For HUN7, traffic into the town along Smitham Bridge Road passes through a section of road that narrows to a single track road at the transition to Church Street, which is a bottleneck for traffic. Increased traffic along here due to the development of HUN7 will lead to increased congestion which is very undesirable.</p> <p>North Standen Road is a small single track road and increased traffic along here will also significantly increase congestion.</p> <p>The assessment appears to miss the fact that these roads are not suited for an increased volume of traffic due to these aspects.</p> <p>Although the site identified by option 2 (HUN20), along Salisbury Road is slightly further from the centre, the A338 which would serve this development is a much better suited road due to its larger size.</p>	Yes

Resident		Yes
----------	--	-----

Swifts Local Network: Swifts & Planning Group		Yes
Residents		Yes
Residents		Yes

Residents		
-----------	--	--

--

--

Resident
PHOTOS
SUPPLIED

Included above

Yes